THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA DOUGLAS WOOD and SANDRA 9 KARLSVIK, husband and wife, No. C05-5575RBL 10 DECLARATION OF MARK LEEMON Plaintiffs. IN RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR 11 SUMMARY JUDGMENT and IN V. RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW 12 CAUSE KITSAP COUNTY; WEST SOUND NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT TEAM; 13 OFFICER MATTHEW DOUGIL WestNET); GIG HARBOR POLICE DEPARTMENT; 14 DETECTIVE JOHN DOE SCHUSTER (WestNET) DETECTIVE JOHN HALSTED 15 (POULSBO POLICE DEPT, WestNET, Badge 16 #606); DETECTIVE G.R. MARS (WSP STATEWIDE INCIDENT RESPONSE 17 TEAM, Badge #685); DETECTIVE JOHN DOE WILSON and JOHN DOES 1-25 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 MARK LEEMON, declares on oath as follows: 22 1. I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiffs herein. 23 I apologize to the Court and counsel for my failure to earlier respond to the motions for 2. 24 summary judgment. Because of difficulties in scheduling, I was unable to take the depositions of 25 several of the officers involved in this matter. For this reason, and by agreement of counsel 26 Defendants agreed once to continue the hearing on their motions to allow me to complete necessary

discovery. Unfortunately, the only date that could be set for the depositions was the day I was leaving town for Thanksgiving. However, discovery in this case has been proceeding in a very cooperative manner. The depositions I requested to take were of State Patrol officers, and counsel for the State agreed to make them available at a later date if I would limit the depositions to two. I agreed to do so. In light of the motion by several defendants to stay discovery pending the outcome of the summary judgment motions, I determined to forego seeking responses to pending written discovery for the time being.

The depositions were held. Unfortunately, they were held late enough to make response to the motions impossible by the time required. Since all parties were at this point in agreement that the depositions should be completed in time for my response, I believed (and continue to believe) defense counsel would agree to once again re-note motions to allow time for my response. Both I and my paralegal have been communicating with counsel about such requests. For reasons I cannot adequately determine, this request, which I though had been made was not. I know my paralegal believes I was to do this, and I take full responsibility for it not being done. The first notice I had of this was a computer tickler advising me of the date of the hearing. Within hours before I received the court's order to show cause, I had sent an e-mail to defense counsel requesting that they again renote the motion. The Court's order reached me before the response to my e-mail.

I am deeply sorry for any inconvenience I have caused the Court or Counsel, and have taken steps to formalize and improve communication about such matters in my office to avoid it happening in the future.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a copy of the complaint seeking a search warrant for the search in question herein of the plaintiff's property.

- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a copy of exhibit 27 to the depositions herein referred to in the depositions of defendants Halted and Dougil.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a copy of exhibit 21 to the depositions herein referred to in the depositions of defendant Dougil.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 are excerpts from the deposition of defendant Dougil.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 are excerpts from the deposition of defendant Halsted.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 are excerpts from the deposition of defendant Mars.

I swear under Penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Seattle, Washington, this 29th day of December, 2006.

Mark Leemon WSBA # 5005